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Quantum graphs


## Quantum graphs



19 edges ( 2 self-loops, 2 multiple, 3 unbounded), 13 vertices (1 of degree two, 3 at infinity).

## Quantum hybrids



## Quantum hybrids



## Standard NLS

The standard nonlinear Schrödinger equation (a.k.a. NLS) is

$$
\imath \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\Delta \psi+\beta|\psi|^{2 \sigma} \psi
$$

with $\psi(t, \mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma>0$.
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Applications:
(i) laser beams: e.g. [Rasmussen, Rypdal, Phys. Scr. '86];
(ii) Bose-Einstein condensates (a.k.a. BEC): e.g. [Dalfovo, Giorgini, Pitaevskii, Stringari, RevModPhys '99];
(iii) other applications: e.g. [Malomed, '05]:
$\rightsquigarrow$ nonlinear optics, plasma waves, FitzHugh-Nazumo model,...

## Effective equation

A system of $N$ quantum particles with positions $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is described by a wave function $\Psi\left(t, \mathrm{x}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{x}_{N}\right)$ that satisfies
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Two-body interaction potential
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with $\psi$ satisfying the NLS (with $\sigma=1$ ):
Gain: factorization and reduction of complexity.
Loss: from linear to nonlinear.
Hot topic in mathematical physics:
$\rightsquigarrow$ Adami, Bardos, Brennecke, Erdos, Frank Golse, Lewin, Lieb, Loss, Paul, Pickl, Rodnianski, Rougerie, Schlein, Seiringer, Solovej, Sphon, Teta, Teufel, Yau, Yngvason...
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again with $\psi(t, \mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but only for $\mathrm{d}=1,2,3$.

## Concentrated nonlinearity

Question:
$\rightsquigarrow$ what if the particles are forced (e.g., by a confining potential) to concentrate in a region small with respect to the wavelength of the particles? Which is the best effective model?

The NLS with concentrated nonlinearity (a.k.a. CNLS), i.e.

$$
\imath \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\Delta \psi+\beta|\psi|^{2 \sigma} \psi \delta_{\mathbf{x}=0}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma>0
$$

again with $\psi(t, \mathbf{x}): \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but only for $\mathrm{d}=1,2,3$.
Applications:
(i) Solid state physics: charge accumulation in semiconductors in presence of an impurity;
(ii) Nonlinear optics: propagation in presence of localized defects.
$\rightsquigarrow$ [Jona-Lasinio et al., PRB '91], [Malomed, Azbel, PRB '93], [Jona-Lasinio et al., APHY '95], [Bulashenko et al., PRB '96], [Sukhorukov et al., PRE '99] ...

Nonlinear Dirac equation on graphs with localized nonlinearities: bound states and nonrelativistic limit

## Notation

A metric graph is a graph $\mathcal{G}:=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ s.t.:
i) $\mathcal{G}$ is a multigraph (i.e., self-loops, multiple edges, etc...);
ii) each edge $e \in E$ is associated with $I_{e}=\left[0, \ell_{e}\right]$, if bounded, or with $I_{e}=[0, \infty)$, if unbounded (a half-line).

References: [Exner, Keating, Kuchment, Sunada, Teplyaev, '08], [Post, '12], [Berkolaiko, Kuchment, '13].


19 edges ( 2 self-loops, 2 multiple, 3 unbounded), 13 vertices ( 1 of degree two, 3 at infinity).

Note: for bounded edges the orientation of the parametrization $\overline{x_{e} \in l_{e}}$ is free, while for half-lines vertices at infinity correspond to $x_{e}=+\infty$.

## Notation

Further assumptions:
i) the cardinality of V and E is finite $\rightsquigarrow$ no periodic graphs!;
ii) $\mathcal{G}$ is connected (a path between each pair of vertices);
iii) $\mathcal{G}$ is noncompact $\rightsquigarrow$ from i) this entails at least a half-line.

As usual, a function $u: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a family of functions $u=\left(u_{e}\right)_{e \in \mathrm{E}}$, with $u_{e}:=u_{\left.\right|_{e}}: I_{e} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As a consequence,
$\underline{\text { Lebesgue: }} L^{p}(\mathcal{G}):=\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}} L^{p}\left(I_{e}\right) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad\|u\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{G})}^{p}:=\left\|u_{e}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(l_{e}\right)}^{p}$
Sobolev: $H^{1}(\mathcal{G}):=\bigoplus_{e \in \mathrm{E}} H^{1}\left(I_{e}\right) \rightsquigarrow\|u\|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{G})}^{2}:=\left\|u_{e}\right\|_{H^{1}\left(l_{e}\right)}^{2}$
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## Motivation

Supposed to be good approximations for constrained dynamics in which transversal dimensions are small with respect to longitudinal ones.


Topical example: NonLinear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE).
$\rightsquigarrow$ Effective model for Bose-Einstein Condensates (BEC) in ramified
traps, for nonlinear optical fibers, etc...
Literature:
$\rightsquigarrow$ [Gnutzmann, Smilanski, AdvPhys '06]
$\rightsquigarrow$ [Noja, RSTA '14], [Adami, Serra, Tilli, RivMatUnivParma '17]
$\rightsquigarrow$ [Lorenzo et al., PHYSLETA '14]

## NLSE with Kirchhoff conditions

The focusing (NLSE) on metric graphs with homogeneous Kirchhoff vertex conditions reads:
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## Bound states of the NLSE

Problem: existence of bound states (B.S.), i.e. $L^{2}$-solutions of the form

$$
\psi(t, x):=e^{-2 \lambda t} u(x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Definition - B.S. of the NLSE
A bound state of the (NLSE) is a function $u \neq 0$ s.t. $u \in \operatorname{dom}(-\Delta)$ and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$
-u_{e}^{\prime \prime}-\left|u_{e}\right|^{p-2} u_{e}=\lambda u_{e}, \quad \forall e \in \mathrm{E} .
$$

Literature:
i) real line: e.g. [Zakharov, Shabat, JETP '72], [Cazenave, Lions, CMP '82];
ii) infinite N -star: e.g. [Adami, Cacciapuoti, Finco, Noja, JPA '12- JDE '14 - ANIHPC '14], [Kairzhan, Pelinovsky, JPA '18 - JDE '18];
ii) tadpole: e.g. [Cacciapuoti, Finco, Noja, PhysRevE '15], [Noja, Pelinovsky, Shaikhova, Nonlin '15];
iv) general: e.g. [Adami, Serra, Tilli, CVPDE '15- JFA '16-CMP '17arXiv '17l.

## Localized nonlinearity

Definition - Compact core
The compact core of $\mathcal{G}$, denoted by $\mathcal{K}$, is the metric subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of all its bounded edges.
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Definition - Compact core
The compact core of $\mathcal{G}$, denoted by $\mathcal{K}$, is the metric subgraph of $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of all its bounded edges.
Examples:


Problem: what happens when the nonlinearity affects only $\mathcal{K}$ ?
([Gnutzmann, Smilanski, Derevyanko, PhysRevA '11], [Noja, RSTA '14])
Definition - B.S. of the NLSE with Localized Nonlinearity A bound state of the (NLSE) with Localized Nonlinearity (L.N.) is a function $u \not \equiv 0$ s.t. $u \in \operatorname{dom}(-\Delta)$ and there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$
-u_{e}^{\prime \prime}-\chi_{\mathcal{K}}\left|u_{e}\right|^{p-2} u_{e}=\lambda u_{e}, \quad \forall e \in \mathrm{E} .
$$

## B.S. with Localized Nonlinearity

1. [Tentarelli, JMAA '16]:
$\rightsquigarrow$ Existence/nonexistence of constrained minimizers of

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}(v):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{G}}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{K}}|v|^{p} d x
$$

on $\left\{\|v\|_{L}^{2}(\mathcal{G})=\mu>0\right\}$, in the $L^{2}$-subcritical case $p \in(2,6)$.
2. [Serra, Tentarelli, JDE '16], [Serra, Tentarelli, NA '16]:
$\rightsquigarrow$ Existence/nonexistence (respectively) of constrained critical
points of the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}(\cdot)$ (in the $L^{2}$-subcritical case).
3. [Dovetta, Tentarelli, arXiv '18]:
$\rightsquigarrow$ Existence/nonexistence of constrained minimizers of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{K}}(\cdot)$
in the $L^{2}$-critical case $p=6$ (for a tadpole graph);
$\rightsquigarrow$ Ongoing project.

## B.S. with Localized Nonlinearity

|  | Exponents | Ground | Bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NLSE | $p \in(2,4)$ | - yes, $\forall \mu>0$ | (see box below) |
|  | $p \in[4,6)$ | - yes if $\mu>\mu_{1}$ <br> - no if $\mu<\mu_{2}$ <br> - unknown if $\mu \in\left[\mu_{2}, \mu_{1}\right]$ | - yes (and multiple) if $\mu$ is large enough <br> - yes if $\mathcal{G}$ has a loop or two terminal edges <br> - no (with $\lambda \leq 0$ ) if $\mu<(p / 2)^{2 /(2-p)} \mu_{2}$ <br> - no (with $\lambda \geq 0$ ) if $\mathcal{G}$ has (at most) one terminal edge and no loops <br> - unknown otherwise |
|  | $p=6$ | - yes if $\mu \in\left[\mu_{\mathcal{K}}, \mu_{\mathbb{R}}\right]$ and if no terminal edges and no cycle coverings* <br> - no otherwise | - yes if $\mathcal{G}$ has a loop or two terminal edges <br> - no (with $\lambda \geq 0$ ) if $\mathcal{G}$ has (at most) one terminal edge and no loops <br> - unknown otherwise |
|  | $p>6$ | - unknown | (see box above) |

## From Schrödinger to Dirac

Recenly, [Sabirov, Babajanov, Matrasulov, Kevrekidis, arXiv '17] proposed the study of the NonLinear Dirac Equation (NLDE)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \imath \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\mathcal{D} \Psi-|\Psi|^{p-2} \Psi \quad \text { on } \mathcal{G} \quad(p \geq 2),  \tag{NLDE}\\
& \sigma_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{3}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

Applications: take into account relativistic effects.
$\rightsquigarrow$ [Sabirov, Babajanov, Matrasulov, Kevrekidis, arXiv '17], [Haddad, Carr, PHYSD '09-NJP '15].
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## Spinors on metric graphs

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a matricial operator, the first difference with (NLSE) is that (NLDE) has a spinorial nature; namely the unknown is a 2-spinor:

$$
\psi=\left(\psi_{e}\right)=\binom{\varphi}{\eta}: \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2}
$$

where $\varphi=\left(\varphi_{e}\right), \eta=\left(\eta_{e}\right)$ are functions on graphs.
Lebesgue: $L^{p}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right):=\left\{\varphi, \eta \in L^{p}(\mathcal{G})\right\}$

$$
\rightsquigarrow \quad\|\psi\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}^{p}:=\|\varphi\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{G})}^{p}+\|\eta\|_{L^{p}(\mathcal{G})}^{p},
$$

Sobolev: $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right):=\left\{\varphi, \eta \in H^{1}(\mathcal{G})\right\}$

$$
\rightsquigarrow \quad\|\psi\|_{H^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}^{2}:=\|\varphi\|_{H^{1}(\mathcal{G})}^{2}+\|\eta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}(\mathcal{G})}^{2} .
$$

However, $\mathcal{D}$ is just formal since it is not defined at the vertices! ...

## Kirchhoff-type vertex conditions

To find a suitable s.a. realization of $\mathcal{D}$

We choose the following:

$$
\mathcal{D} \psi_{l_{e}}=\mathcal{D}_{e} \psi_{e}:=-\imath c \sigma_{1} \psi_{e}^{\prime}+m c^{2} \sigma_{3} \psi_{e}, \quad \forall e \in \mathrm{E}, \quad \forall \psi \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{D})
$$
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$\eta_{e}(\mathrm{v})_{ \pm}$stands for $\eta_{e}(0)$ or $-\eta_{e}\left(\ell_{e}\right)$ depending on the orientation of $I_{e}$.

## Kirchhoff-type vertex conditions

One can check (using [Bulla, Trenkler, JMP '90], [Bolte, Harrison, JPA '03],[Post, '08], [C., Malamud, Posilicano, JDE '13]) that:
i) $\mathcal{D}$ is self-adjoint;
ii) the spectrum is absolutely continuous and presents a gap, i.e.

$$
\sigma(\mathcal{D})=\left(-\infty,-m c^{2}\right] \cup\left[m c^{2},+\infty\right)
$$

We call (KT1)-(KT2) Kirchhoff-type vertex conditions.
Why?

1. They identify (as Kirchhoff for $-\Delta$ ) the free case: no effect at the vertices (which are then mere junctions between the edges).
$\rightsquigarrow$ Introduced by [Sabirov, Babajanov, Matrasulov, Kevrekidis, arXiv '17]
(where are derived by some conservation laws).
2. They converge to the Kirchhoff ones in the nonrelativistic limit.

## Quadratic form

Formally, the quadratic form associated with $\mathcal{D}$ should read

$$
\mathcal{Q}(\psi):=(\psi, \mathcal{D} \psi)_{L^{2}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{G}} \psi \cdot \mathcal{D} \psi d x
$$

with domain $H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right):=\left\{\varphi, \eta \in H^{1 / 2}(\mathcal{G})\right\}$.
i) the derivative of a function in $H^{1 / 2}\left(I_{e}\right)$ belongs to $H^{-1 / 2}\left(I_{e}\right)$, which is not the dual of $H^{1 / 2}\left(I_{e}\right)$ if $I_{e}$ is bounded;
ii) $H^{1 / 2}\left(I_{e}\right) \nrightarrow C^{0}\left(I_{e}\right) \Rightarrow$ cannot "just add" a boundary condition $\rightsquigarrow$ as for $-\Delta$, where the definitions of the quadratic form and its domain are immediate, i.e.

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathcal{G})}^{2}, \quad \text { with domain } \quad H^{1}(\mathcal{G})^{\prime \prime}+\prime(\mathrm{K} 1)
$$



## Quadratic form

$\rightsquigarrow$ There exists $U$ unitary that transforms $\mathcal{D}$ into a multiplication operator $f$ on $L^{2}(M, d \mu)$.
$\rightsquigarrow$ Hence, $\mathcal{Q}(v):=\frac{1}{2}(U v, f U v)_{L^{2}(M, d \mu)}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{Q}):=\left\{\|\sqrt{|f|} U v\|_{L^{2}(M, d \mu)}<\infty\right\} .
$$

This could seem quite abstract, but, by standard Interpolation Theory,

$$
\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{Q})=\left[L^{2}(\mathcal{G}), \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{D})\right]_{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and

$$
H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)=\left[L^{2}(\mathcal{G}), H^{1}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right)\right]_{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

$$
\operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{Q}) \hookrightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{C}^{2}\right) \quad(2 \leq p<\infty)
$$

## Back to nonlinear

Now, we have a precise meaning for (NLDE)

$$
\imath \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}=\mathcal{D} \Psi-|\Psi|^{p-2} \Psi
$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is the Dirac operator with Kirchhoff-type vertex conditions.
Goal: bound states, that is solutions of the form

$$
\Psi(t, x):=e^{-\imath \omega t} \psi(x), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Problem: we cannot search for costrained minimizers since, the associated

$$
\mathcal{E}(\psi):=\mathcal{Q}(\psi)-\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{G}}|\psi|^{p} d x
$$

is unbounded below, even if one fixes the $L^{2}$-norm
$\rightsquigarrow$ due to the spectral properties of $\mathcal{D}$, precisely to the presence of an infinite negative portion of the spectrum.

## Main results: B.S. with localized nonlinearities

In addition, we decided to first study the case of the localized nonlinearity; that is, to search for

Definition - B.S. of the NLDE with L.N.
A B.S. of the (NLDE) with L.N. is a spinor $\psi \not \equiv 0$ s.t.:
i) $\psi \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{D})$;
ii) there exists $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$
\mathcal{D}_{e} \psi_{e}-\chi_{\mathcal{K}}\left|\psi_{e}\right|^{p-2} \psi_{e}=\omega \psi_{e}, \quad \forall e \in \mathrm{E}
$$

Then, we proved:
Theorem 1 [T.B.C., SIMA '19]
Let $\mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset$ and let $p>2$. Then, for every
$\omega \in\left(-m c^{2}, m c^{2}\right)=\mathbb{R} \backslash \sigma(\mathcal{D})$, there exists infinitely many (distinct pairs of) B.S. of frequency $\omega$ of the (NLDE) with L.N..

## Main results: nonrelativistic limit

By the definition of $\mathcal{D}$, the B.S. obtained via Theorem 1 depend on the relativistic parameter $c$ (the mass $m$ ) and the frequency $\omega$ :
$\rightsquigarrow$ meant as B.S. at (a fixed value) speed of light $c$ and frequency $\omega$.

Theorem 2 [T.B.C., SIMA'19]
Let $\mathcal{K} \neq \emptyset, p \in(2,6)$ and $\lambda<0$. Let also $\left(c_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{n}\right)$ be two real sequences such that

$$
0<c_{n}, \omega_{n} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \omega_{n}<m c_{n}^{2}, \quad \omega_{n}-m c_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{m}
$$

If $\left\{\psi_{n}=\left(\varphi_{n}, \eta_{n}\right)^{T}\right\}$ is a sequence of B.S. of frequency $\omega_{n}$ of the (NLDE)
with L.N. at speed of light $c_{n}$,

$$
\varphi_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { in } \quad H^{1}(\mathcal{G})
$$

where $u$ is a B.S. of frequency $\lambda$ of the (NLSE) with L.N.

## Remarks

## B.S. EXISTENCE

1. First variational result on the B.S. of the (NLDE) on metric graphs.
2. Differences with respect to the (NLSE) on graphs:
i) one cannot search for constrained minimizers of a proper energy functional, since the kinetic part $\mathcal{Q}$ is unbounded from below;
ii) one cannot use the adaptations of direct methods of calculus of variations introduced for the (NLSE);
iii) $\mathcal{Q}$ is strongly indefinite in sign:
$\rightsquigarrow$ more refined tools of Critical Point Theory;
$\rightsquigarrow$ more complex geometry of the functional (linking);
iv) the spinorial nature and the implicit definition of $\mathcal{Q}$ :
$\rightsquigarrow$ one cannot use of the techniques of rearrangements and "graph surgery" developed for (NLSE).

## Remarks

3. It is necessary to adapt classical techniques for (NLDE) on standard domains (e.g. [Rabinowitz, '80], [Esteban, Séré, CMP '95], [Struwe, '08]).

## NONRELATIVISTIC LIMIT

1. Actually, the B.S. converge to the (NLSE) with a pre-factor $2 m$ in front of the nonlinearity.
2. Theorem 2 holds just in the $L^{2}$-subcritical case $p \in(2,6)$.
3. As a byproduct, Theorem 2 is an existence result for the (NLSE) parametrized by $\lambda$ and not by $L^{2}$-norm.
4. The meaning of the nonrelativistic limit is to investigate what occurs when the relativistic effects become negligible (i.e. $\left.c_{n} \rightarrow \infty\right)$ :
$\rightsquigarrow$ the convergence to (NLSE) is a rigorous justification of the physical intuition.
$\rightsquigarrow$ also justifies Kirchhoff-type vertex conditions.
